arXiv:math/0307266v1 [math.DG] 19 Jul 2003

QUANTIZATION OF THE GEODESIC FLOW ON QUATERNION PROJECTIVE SPACES

KENRO FURUTANI

Contents

Introduction 1
1. Quaternion projective space and a Kähler structure 3
2. Pairing of polarization 8
3. Quantization operator I 13
4. Quantization operator II 24
5. The reproducing kernel 26
References 28

Abstract. We study a problem of the geometric quantization for the quaternion projective space. First we explain a Kähler structure on the punctured cotangent bundle of the quaternion projective space, whose Kähler form coincides with the natural symplectic form on the cotangent bundle and show that the canonical line bundle of this complex structure is holomorphically trivial by explicitly constructing a nowhere vanishing holomorphic global section. Then we construct a Hilbert space consisting of a certain class of holomorphic functions on the punctured cotangent bundle by the method of pairing polarization and incidentally we construct an operator from this Hilbert space to the L_2 space of the quaternion projective space. Also we construct a similar operator between these two Hilbert spaces through the Hopf fiberation. We prove that these operators quantize the geodesic flow of the quaternion projective space to the one parameter group of the unitary Fourier integral operators generated by the square root of the Laplacian plus suitable constant. Finally we remark that the Hilbert space above has the reproducing kernel.

Introduction

In the paper [FT] we explicitly constructed a Kähler structure on the punctured cotangent bundles of complex and quaternion projective

---

Key words and phrases. quaternion projective space, Kähler polarization, geodesic flow, pairing of the polarization, geometric quantization, Hopf fibration.

Mathematical Subject Classification 2000. 53D50, 53D25, 32Q15.

spaces whose Kähler form coincides with the natural symplectic form (see [Ii2], [Sz1], [Sz2] and also a quite recent paper [Sz3]). This Kähler structure is also invariant under the action of the geodesic flow with respect to the Fubini-Study metric for the case of complex projective spaces and the metric defined through the Hopf fibration for the case of quaternion projective spaces, respectively. Then in the paper [FY] we applied this structure to construct quantization operators of the geodesic flow on complex projective spaces, by pairing polarizations (see [Ra1], [Ii1]). In this paper we construct a similar operator for the quaternion projective space by the same method as [Ra2] and [FY] (Theorem 3.2). We also construct a quantization operator by making use of the Hopf fiberation $S^{4n+3} \to P^n\mathbb{H}$. These two operators do not coincide.

Most parts of this paper are devoted to the concrete determination of fiber integrations in terms of the Gamma function. These arise from the pairing polarization (Kähler polarization and the vertical polarization) on the punctured cotangent bundle of the quaternion projective space.

Similar to the cases of the sphere and the complex projective space, the operators we construct here are not unitary, however, in a sense, asymptotically unitary (modulo a constant multiple ($= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi}$, independent of the dimension, Proposition 3.8)).

The case of the sphere was treated earlier by [Ra2]. What remains among the compact symmetric spaces of rank one for which we want to construct a quantization operator of the geodesic flow, or more precise, an exact quantization operator of the bicharacteristic flow of the square root of the Laplacian is only the case of the Cayley projective plane. We will treat that case in a separate paper. See also [F].

In § 1 we summarize standard properties of the quaternion projective space and introduce a Kähler structure on the punctured cotangent bundle of the quaternion projective space with a slight modification from [FT]. In § 2 we give explicit calculations of the pairing of the Kähler polarization and the vertical polarization on the punctured cotangent bundle of the quaternion projective space. Especially we give a relation arising from the Hopf fibration between the Liouville volume forms on the cotangent bundle of the sphere and the quaternion projective space.

Based on these data, in § 3 we construct a quantization operator which maps a certain class of classical observables to L_2-functions on the quaternion projective space. In § 4 we construct another quantization operator by making use of the Hopf fibration and give a relation between these two quantization operators. Finally in § 5 we note the existence of the reproducing kernel of the Hilbert space consisting of a certain class of holomorphic functions which is constructed in § 3. Here we can represent it only in the form of power series.

1. Quaternion projective space and a Kähler structure

In this section we describe a Kähler structure on the punctured cotangent bundle of the quaternion projective space.

Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the quaternion number field over the real number field $\mathbb{R}$, which is generated by $\{\boldsymbol{e}_0, \boldsymbol{e}_1, \boldsymbol{e}_2, \boldsymbol{e}_3\}$ with the relations $\boldsymbol{e}_i\boldsymbol{e}_j$ given by the table

(1.1)

	$\boldsymbol{e}_0$	$\boldsymbol{e}_1$	$\boldsymbol{e}_2$	$\boldsymbol{e}_3$
$\boldsymbol{e}_0$	$\boldsymbol{e}_0$	$\boldsymbol{e}_1$	$\boldsymbol{e}_2$	$\boldsymbol{e}_3$
$\boldsymbol{e}_1$	$\boldsymbol{e}_1$	$-\boldsymbol{e}_0$	$\boldsymbol{e}_3$	$-\boldsymbol{e}_2$
$\boldsymbol{e}_2$	$\boldsymbol{e}_2$	$-\boldsymbol{e}_3$	$-\boldsymbol{e}_0$	$\boldsymbol{e}_1$
$\boldsymbol{e}_3$	$\boldsymbol{e}_3$	$\boldsymbol{e}_2$	$-\boldsymbol{e}_1$	$-\boldsymbol{e}_0$

In this paper we regard $\mathbb{H}^n$ as a right $\mathbb{H}$-vector space with the $\mathbb{H}$-inner product

$$(h, k)_{\mathbb{H}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta(h_i)k_i \tag{1.2}$$

where $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n), k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $\theta(x) = x_0\boldsymbol{e}_0 - x_1\boldsymbol{e}_1 - x_2\boldsymbol{e}_2 - x_3\boldsymbol{e}_3$ for $x = \sum_{i=0}^{3} x_i\boldsymbol{e}_i \in \mathbb{H}$. Then the $\mathbb{R}$ bilinear form $\langle h, k\rangle = \dfrac{1}{2}\{(h,k)_{\mathbb{H}} + (k,h)_{\mathbb{H}}\}$ on $\mathbb{H}^n$ defines a Euclidean inner product on $\mathbb{H}^n$ as a real vector space. We will denote its extension to the complexification $\mathbb{H}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}$ as a complex bilinear form with the same notation $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle$.

Let $M(n, \mathbb{H})$ be the space of $n \times n$ $\mathbb{H}$-matrices, and for $X = (x_{ij}) \in M(n, \mathbb{H})$ define respectively

$$\theta(X) = (\theta(x_{ij})), \tag{1.3}$$

$$({}^tX)_{ij} = x_{ji}, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\operatorname{tr} X = \sum x_{ii}, \tag{1.5}$$

$${}^TX = \theta({}^tX). \tag{1.6}$$

Each matrix $X \in M(n, \mathbb{H})$ defines a right $\mathbb{H}$-linear map $X : \mathbb{H}^n \to \mathbb{H}^n$, and we have

$$(Xh, k)_{\mathbb{H}} = \left(h, {}^TXk\right)_{\mathbb{H}}. \tag{1.7}$$

The group $Sp(n)$ is then defined as a group consisting of those matrices $X \in M(n, \mathbb{H})$ which preserve the $\mathbb{H}$-inner product.

The space $\mathcal{H}(n, \mathbb{H}) = \{X \in M(n, \mathbb{H}) | X = {}^T X\}$ is called a Jordan algebra with the Jordan product

$$X \circ Y = \frac{1}{2}(XY + YX). \tag{1.8}$$

Note that for $X \in \mathcal{H}(n, \mathbb{H})$, $\operatorname{tr} X \in \mathbb{R}\boldsymbol{e}_0 = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{H}(n, \mathbb{H})$ is equipped with a Euclidean inner product given by

$$\langle X, Y\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \operatorname{tr}(X \circ Y). \tag{1.9}$$

The inner product has the property:

$$\langle X \circ Y, Z\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle X, Y \circ Z\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}. \tag{1.10}$$

As is well-known, the quaternion projective space $P^n\mathbb{H}$ is the set of all $\mathbb{H}$-one-dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. Here we identify $P^n\mathbb{H}$ with the subset in $\mathcal{H}(n+1, \mathbb{H})$:

$$\begin{aligned} P^n(\mathbb{H}) = \{P \in \mathcal{H}(n+1, \mathbb{H}) \mid P = (p_i\theta(p_j)),& \\ p = (p_0, \ldots, p_n) \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, \langle p, p\rangle = 1\}.& \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

We consider the Riemannian metric on $P^n\mathbb{H}$ defined through the Hopf-fibration $\pi : S^{4n+3} \to P^n\mathbb{H}$, where $S^{4n+3} = \{h \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \mid \langle h, h\rangle = (h, h)_{\mathbb{H}} = 1\}$ has the standard metric.

Let us denote the isomorphism $\mathbb{H} \to M(2, \mathbb{C})$

$$\mathbb{H} \ni h \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + \sqrt{-1}x_1 & x_2 + \sqrt{-1}x_3 \\ -x_2 + \sqrt{-1}x_3 & x_0 - \sqrt{-1}x_1 \end{pmatrix} \in M(2, \mathbb{C}) \tag{1.12}$$

by ρ and we denote with the same notation ρ its complexification

$$\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{C} \overset{\rho}{\simeq} M(2, \mathbb{C}). \tag{1.13}$$

Now we introduce the following spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_S = \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \mid \langle p, p\rangle = 1, \langle p, q\rangle = 0,& \\ q + p(q, p)_{\mathbb{H}} \neq 0\}.& \end{aligned}$$

The space $\mathbf{E}_S$ is $Sp(n+1)$-invariant and also invariant under the right action of $Sp(1)$.

$$\mathbf{E}^0_S = \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \mid \langle p, p\rangle = 1, q \neq 0, (q, p)_{\mathbb{H}} = 0\},$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}} = \left\{ (P,Q) \mid P,Q \in \mathcal{H}(n+1,\mathbb{H}), \operatorname{tr} P = 1, P \circ Q = \frac{1}{2} Q, Q \neq 0 \right\},$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S} \;=\; \left\{ (B_0,\dots,B_n) \in M(2,\mathbb{C})^{n+1} \mid z \wedge w \neq 0, \sum \det B_i = 0 \right\},$$

where $B_i \in M(2,\mathbb{C})$ takes the form $B_i = \begin{pmatrix} z_{2i} & w_{2i} \\ z_{2i+1} & w_{2i+1} \end{pmatrix}$, and $z = (z_0,\dots,z_{2n+1})$, $w = (w_0,\dots,w_{2n+1})$.

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}^0_S} \;=\; \left\{ (B_0,\dots,B_n) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S} \mid \sum B_i B_i^* = -J \sum \overline{B}_i{}^t B_i J \right\},$$

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and the condition $\sum B_i B_i^* = -J \sum \overline{B}_i{}^t B_i J$ can be rewritten as $\sum z_{2i}\overline{z}_{2i+1} + w_{2i}\overline{w}_{2i+1} = 0$.

$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}} = \{ A \in M(2n+2,\mathbb{C}) \mid \mathbb{J}\, A = {}^t\!A\, \mathbb{J}, \operatorname{rank} A = 2,\ A^2 = 0 \}$.

Here we denote by $\mathbb{J}$

$$\mathbb{J} = \begin{pmatrix} J & & & \large O \\ & J & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ \large O & & & J \end{pmatrix} \in M(2n+2,\mathbb{C}).$$

We will define the norm of a matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in M(n,\mathbb{C})$ by $\|A\| = \sqrt{\sum |a_{ij}|^2} = \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(A\,A^*)}$ and the norm of an element $B \in \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_S$ by $\|B\| = \sqrt{\sum \|B_i\|^2}$.

Remarks 1.1. The inner product $\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}$ on $\mathcal{H}(n+1,\mathbb{H})$ is the restriction of the $\mathbb{R}$-bilinear form $\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(X^T Y + Y^T X)$ on $M(n+1,\mathbb{H})$ and can be extended to $M(n+1,\mathbb{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong M(2n+2,\mathbb{C})$ as a complex bilinear form in a natural way. We will denote this bilinear form by

$$\langle\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,\rangle_{\mathbb{C}} : M(n+1,\mathbb{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \times M(n+1,\mathbb{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}.$$

Then the Hermitian inner product on $M(n+1,\mathbb{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong M(2n+2,\mathbb{C})$ is given by $\left\langle A, \overline{B} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ and the norm of $A \in M(2n+2,\mathbb{C}) \cong M(n+1,\mathbb{H}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$, which we introduced above, equals $\|A\| = \sqrt{2\left\langle A, \overline{A}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}}$, that is $\left\langle A, \overline{A}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ $= 1/2 \cdot \mathrm{tr}(A\,A^{*})$.

Next we define the maps $\alpha, \beta, \pi_{\mathbb{H}}, \pi_S, \tau_S$ and $\tau_{\mathbb{H}}$ among these spaces:

$$
\begin{array}{llcll}
\alpha: & \mathbf{E}_S & \to & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}} & \\
 & \Cup & & \Cup & \\
 & (p,q) & \longmapsto & (P,Q), & P = (p_i\theta(p_j)), Q = (p_i\theta(q_j) + q_i\theta(p_j))
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llcll}
\beta: & \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S} & \to & \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}} & \\
 & \Cup & & \Cup & \\
 & (B_0, \ldots, B_n) & \longmapsto & A = (A_{ij}), & A_{ij} = -B_i J^t B_j J
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llcl}
\pi_S: & \mathbf{E}_S & \to & S^{4n+3} \\
 & \Cup & & \Cup \\
 & (p,q) & \longmapsto & p
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llcl}
\pi_{\mathbb{H}}: & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}} & \to & P^n\mathbb{H} \\
 & \Cup & & \Cup \\
 & (P,Q) & \longmapsto & P
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llcl}
\tau_S: & \mathbf{E}_S & \to & \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S} \\
 & \Cup & & \Cup \\
 & (p,q) & \longmapsto & (B_0, \ldots, B_n), \\
 & \multicolumn{3}{l}{B_i = \rho(\|q\|\, p_i \otimes 1 + q_i \otimes \sqrt{-1}), \quad \|q\| = \sqrt{(q,q)_{\mathbb{H}}}} \\
\tau_{\mathbb{H}}: & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}} & \to & \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}} \\
 & \Cup & & \Cup \\
 & (P,Q) & \longmapsto & A = (A_{ij}), \\
 & \multicolumn{3}{l}{A = \|Q\|^2 \left(\rho(P_{ij})\right) - \left(\rho(Q_{ij})\right)^2 + \dfrac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{2}} \|Q\| \left(\rho(Q_{ij})\right).}
\end{array}
$$

Of course $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}$ is identified with the punctured tangent bundle of $P^n\mathbb{H}$. The Riemannian metric $g_{\mathbb{H}}$ on $P^n\mathbb{H}$ defined through the Hopf fibration is given by

$$
g_{\mathbb{H}}(Q_1, Q_2) = \frac{1}{2} < Q_1,\, Q_2 >_{\mathbb{R}} = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}(Q_1 \circ Q_2) \tag{1.14}
$$

for (P, Q_1), $(P, Q_2) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}$.

Remarks 1.2. (1) Let $(p,q) \in \mathbf{E}_S$. Then $4\left\|q\right\|^2 = \left\|B\right\|^2 (B = \tau_S(p,q))$.

(2) Let $(P,Q) \in \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}$, then $Q^3 = 1/2\left\|Q\right\|^2 Q$, $2\left\|Q\right\|^4 = \left\|A\right\|^2 (A = \tau_{\mathbb{H}}(P,Q))$.

(3) Assume that $(p,q)_{\mathbb{H}} = 0$, that is $(p,q) \in \mathbf{E}_S^0$, then we can easily show that $2\left\|q\right\|^2 = \left\|Q\right\|^2 (\alpha(p,q) = (P,Q))$, $Q^2 = 2\left\|q\right\|^2 P + (q_i\theta(q_j))$ and $\beta^*(\left\|A\right\|) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left\|B\right\|^2 (A = \tau_{\mathbb{H}}(P,Q),\ B = \tau_S(p,q))$. Also we have $g_{\mathbb{H}}(Q,Q) = \left\|q\right\|^2$ for $(P,Q) = \alpha(p,q)$ with $(p,q) \in \mathbf{E}_S^0$.

$\mathbf{E}_S$ is an open subspace of the tangent bundle of S^{4n+3}. It consists of those vectors which are not parallel to the fiber of the Hopf-fibration.

The map τ_S(resp. $\tau_{\mathbb{H}}$) is an isomorphism between the spaces $\mathbf{E}_S$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S}$ (resp. $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$). Also we have $\tau_S(\mathbf{E}_S^0) = \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S^0}$ and

Proposition 1.3. (1) *$\mathbf{E}_S^0$ is $Sp(1)$-invariant, and $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S^0}$ is $SU(2)$-invariant,*

(2) *the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{4n+3} \arrow[d, "\pi"] & \mathbf{E}_S^0 \arrow[l, "\pi_S"'] \arrow[r, "\tau_S"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"] & \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S^0} \arrow[d, "\beta"] \\
P^n\mathbb{H} & \mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}} \arrow[l, "\pi_{\mathbb{H}}"] \arrow[r, "\tau_{\mathbb{H}}"] & \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.15}$$

(3) *the map τ_S commutes (on $\mathbf{E}_S$) with the action of $Sp(1) \overset{\rho}{\xrightarrow{\sim}} SU(2)$.*

Remark 1.4. The maps $\beta \circ \tau_S$ and $\tau_{\mathbb{H}} \circ \alpha$ do not coincide on the whole space $\mathbf{E}_S$.

By the Riemannian metric we identify the tangent bundle of the sphere S^{4n+3}, respectively the quaternion projective space $P^n\mathbb{H}$ with their cotangent bundle. Both spaces $\mathbf{E}_S$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}$ can be seen as complex manifolds through the maps τ_S and $\tau_{\mathbb{H}}$. Then we have

Proposition 1.5. *Let ω_S and $\omega_{\mathbb{H}}$ be the symplectic forms on the cotangent bundle of the sphere S^{4n+3} and the quaternion projective space, then*

$$\omega_S = \tau_S^*\left(\sqrt{-1}\,\overline{\partial}\partial\sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left\|B_i\right\|^2}\right), \tag{1.16}$$

$$\omega_{\mathbb{H}} = \tau_{\mathbb{H}}^*\left(2^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{-1}\,\overline{\partial}\partial\sqrt{\left\|A\right\|}\right). \tag{1.17}$$

In fact we have more precise relations:

Proposition 1.6.

$$\sqrt{-1}\tau_S^*(\partial\,\|B\| - \overline{\partial}\,\|B\|) = 2\,\theta_S \tag{1.18}$$

$$\sqrt{-1}\tau_{\mathbb{H}}^*(\partial\sqrt{\|A\|} - \overline{\partial}\sqrt{\|A\|}) = 2^{\frac{3}{4}}\,\theta_{P^n\mathbb{H}} \tag{1.19}$$

where θ_S(resp. $\theta_{P^n\mathbb{H}}$) is the canonical one-form on the cotangent bundle T^*S^{4n+3}(resp. $T^*P^n\mathbb{H}$).

Proof. We only show (1.19). The formula (1.18) is proved more directly.

By using the formulas in Remarks 1.1, 1.2 and a property (1.10) of the Euclidean inner product in the Jordan algebra $\mathcal{H}(n+1,\mathbb{H})$, we can make the following calculations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&{\tau_{\mathbb{H}}}^*(\partial\left\langle A,\overline{A}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^{1/4} - \overline{\partial}\left\langle A,\overline{A}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^{1/4})\\
&= 4\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}\left\langle \|Q\|^2 P - Q^2, d(\|Q\|\,Q)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}\\
&= -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}\left\langle Q, dP\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have the formula (1.19) by adjusting constants according to the definition of the Riemannian metric (1.14) on $P^n\mathbb{H}$. □

When we consider the action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C}) = \rho(\{r \in \mathbb{H}\otimes\mathbb{C} \,|\, r\,\theta(r) = 1\})$ on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S}$ from the right, we have

Proposition 1.7.

$$\beta:\ \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S} \to \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}} \tag{1.20}$$

is a principal fiber bundle with the structure group $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$.

2. PAIRING OF POLARIZATION

We will denote by $\mathcal{G}$(resp. $\mathcal{G}_S$) the positive complex polarization on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S}$) defined by the Kähler structure (Proposition 1.5), that is the sub-bundle consisting of tangents of type $(0,1)$, and by $K_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ (resp. $K_S^{\mathcal{G}}$) its canonical line bundle. In this section we will describe a nowhere vanishing holomorphic global section of the canonical line bundle $K_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{G}}$, and then we determine explicitly the pairing of polarizations on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$.

Let Z be a vector field on $\underbrace{M(2,\mathbb{C})\times\cdots\times M(2,\mathbb{C})}_{n+1}\setminus\{0\}$ defined by

$$Z=\frac{1}{\|B\|^2}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2n+1}\overline{\frac{\partial D}{\partial z_i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}+\sum_{i=0}^{2n+1}\overline{\frac{\partial D}{\partial w_i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial w_i}\right) \tag{2.1}$$

where we denote $B=(B_0,\dots,B_n)\in M(2,\mathbb{C})\times\cdots\times M(2,\mathbb{C})$ and $B_i=\begin{pmatrix} z_{2i} & w_{2i}\\ z_{2i+1} & w_{2i+1}\end{pmatrix}$ as before and $D=\sum\det B_i$

We define a $(4n+3)$-form σ_S on $M(2,\mathbb{C})\times\cdots\times M(2,\mathbb{C})\setminus\{0\}$ by

$$\sigma_S=\frac{1}{(2\sqrt{-1})^{2n+2}}\mathbf{i}_Z\left(dz_0\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_{2n+1}\wedge dw_0\wedge\cdots\wedge dw_{2n+1}\right) \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathbf{i}_Z$ denotes the interior product with the vector field Z.

Since $d\,D(Z)\ \equiv\ 1$,we have

$$(2\sqrt{-1})^{2n+2}\cdot dD\wedge\sigma_S=dz_0\wedge\cdots\wedge dz_{2n+1}\wedge dw_0\wedge\cdots\wedge dw_{2n+1} \tag{2.3}$$

and the restriction of σ_S to $\sum\det B_i=0$ is holomorphic and nowhere vanishing. We will denote the restriction of σ_S to $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S}$ with the same notation.

Let $\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{-1} & 0\\ 0 & -\sqrt{-1}\end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ -1 & 0\end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix}0 & \sqrt{-1}\\ \sqrt{-1} & 0\end{pmatrix}$ be elements in $\mathfrak{su}(2)\subset\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$. We denote by Y_1,Y_2 and Y_3 the vector fields on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S}$ corresponding to these three elements respectively defined by the action of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$.

Let us consider the $4n$-form σ on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S}$ given by

$$\sigma=\mathbf{i}_{Y_3}\circ\mathbf{i}_{Y_2}\circ\mathbf{i}_{Y_1}(\sigma_S). \tag{2.4}$$

Then σ is a holomorphic $4n$-form, is invariant under the action of the group $Sp(n+1)$ on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S}$ from the left and is $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$-invariant from the right. Also note that $det(Ad_g)=1$ for any $g\in SL(2,\mathbb{C})$. So there exists a unique nowhere vanishing holomorphic $4n$-form $\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}$ on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$ such that

$$\beta^*(\sigma_{\mathbb{H}})=\sigma. \tag{2.5}$$

This $4n$-form $\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}$ gives a holomorphic trivialization of the canonical line bundle $K^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}$ of $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$, and is $Sp(n+1)$-invariant.

Let V_1,V_2 and V_3 be three vector fields on the sphere S^{4n+3} corresponding to the elements $\boldsymbol{e}_1,\boldsymbol{e}_2$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_3$ in $\mathfrak{sp}(1)\subset\mathbb{H}$ defined through the action of $Sp(1)$ from the right. Let $\boldsymbol{v}_S$ be the volume form of the Riemannian metric on S^{4n+3} and denote the volume form on $P^n\mathbb{H}$ by $\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}$.

We define three one-forms η_1, η_2 and η_3 on S^{4n+3} in such a way that

$$\eta_i(V_j) = \delta_{ij} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\eta_i(V) = 0 \quad \text{for any } V \in TS^{4n+3} \tag{2.7}$$

which is orthogonal to $V_j\,(j = 1, 2, 3)$.

Now we have the following relations among these vector fields, one-forms and volume elements:

$$\pi_*(\boldsymbol{v}_S) = 2\pi^2 \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$2\pi^2 \pi^*(\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}) = \mathbf{i}_{V_3} \circ \mathbf{i}_{V_2} \circ \mathbf{i}_{V_1}(\boldsymbol{v}_S), \tag{2.9}$$

$$\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3 \wedge \mathbf{i}_{V_3} \circ \mathbf{i}_{V_2} \circ \mathbf{i}_{V_1}(\boldsymbol{v}_S) = \boldsymbol{v}_S. \tag{2.10}$$

Here π_* means the fiber integration of the Hopf bundle $\pi : S^{4n+3} \to P^n\mathbb{H}$.

Let $\theta_i = (\pi_S \circ \tau_S^{-1})^* \eta_i$. We decompose θ_i into

$$\theta_i = \theta_i' + \theta_i'' \tag{2.11}$$

with the holomorphic component θ_i' and the anti-holomorphic component θ_i'' in the complexified cotangent bundle $T^*(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

Then, we have

Proposition 2.1.

$$\theta_1' \wedge \theta_2' \wedge \theta_3' \wedge (\mathbf{i}_{Y_3} \circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_2} \circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_1}(\sigma_S)) = \det(\theta_i'(Y_j))\sigma_S. \tag{2.12}$$

on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S}$.

Put

$$\sigma_S \wedge \overline{\sigma}_S = A_S \Omega_S \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{H}} \wedge \overline{\sigma}_{\mathbb{H}} = A_{\mathbb{H}} \Omega_{\mathbb{H}} \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$\Omega_S = \frac{(-1)^{(4n+3)(2n+1)}}{(4n+3)!} \omega_S^{4n+3} = \frac{-1}{(4n+3)!} \omega_S^{4n+3} \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{H}} = \frac{(-1)^{2n(4n-1)}}{(4n)!} \omega_{\mathbb{H}}^{4n} = \frac{1}{(4n)!} \omega_{\mathbb{H}}^{4n} \tag{2.16}$$

are the Liouville volume forms on T^*S^{4n+3} and $T^*P^n\mathbb{H}$ respectively.

Then the invariance of σ_S and Ω_S under the transitive action of the group $SO(4n+4)$ on the unit sphere in the tangent bundle TS^{4n+3} and the invariance of $\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\Omega_{\mathbb{H}}$ under the transitive action of $Sp(n+1)$ on the unit sphere in the tangent bundle $TP^n\mathbb{H}$ give

Proposition 2.2.

$$A_S = \boldsymbol{a}_S \, \|B\|^{4n+1} \tag{2.17}$$

$$A_{\mathbb{H}} = \boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}} \, \|A\|^{2n+2} \tag{2.18}$$

with two constants $\boldsymbol{a}_S$ *and* $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}$*.*

Again by the same reasons as above, we can put

$$\begin{gathered}(\pi_S \circ \tau_S^{-1})^*(\boldsymbol{v}_S) \wedge \overline{\sigma}_S = B_S \Omega_S, \\ B_S = \boldsymbol{b}_S \, \|B\|^{-1}\end{gathered} \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\begin{gathered}(\pi_{\mathbb{H}} \circ \tau_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1})^*(\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}) \wedge \overline{\sigma}_{\mathbb{H}} = B_{\mathbb{H}} \Omega_{\mathbb{H}}, \\ B_{\mathbb{H}} = \boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}} \, \|A\| \, ,\end{gathered} \tag{2.20}$$

with two constants $\boldsymbol{b}_S$ and $\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}$. Then we have

Proposition 2.3.

$$\beta^* \left(\frac{A_{\mathbb{H}}}{B_{\mathbb{H}}} \right) = \det(\theta'_i(Y_j)) \frac{A_S}{B_S} \tag{2.21}$$

on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}^0_S}$*.*

Proof. By (2.5) and Proposition 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_S \wedge \overline{\sigma}_S = A_S \Omega_S \\ &= \frac{1}{\det(\theta'_i(Y_j)) \det(\theta''_i(Y_j))} \theta'_1 \wedge \theta'_2 \wedge \theta'_3 \wedge \theta''_1 \wedge \theta''_2 \wedge \theta''_3 \wedge \beta^*(\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}) \wedge \overline{\beta^*(\sigma_{\mathbb{H}})} \\ &= \frac{\beta^*(A_{\mathbb{H}})}{\det(\theta'_i(Y_j)) \det(\theta''_i(Y_j))} \theta'_1 \wedge \theta'_2 \wedge \theta'_3 \wedge \theta''_1 \wedge \theta''_2 \wedge \theta''_3 \wedge \beta^*(\Omega_{\mathbb{H}}).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\det(\theta'_i(Y_j)) \det(\theta''_i(Y_j)) \, A_S \, \mathbf{i}_{Y_3} \circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_2} \circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_1}(\Omega_S) \\ &\qquad = \beta^*(A_{\mathbb{H}}) \, \mathbf{i}_{Y_3} \circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_2} \circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_1}(\theta'_1 \wedge \theta'_2 \wedge \theta'_3 \wedge \theta''_1 \wedge \theta''_2 \wedge \theta''_3) \wedge \beta^*(\Omega_{\mathbb{H}})\end{aligned}$$

on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S}$, since $\beta_*(Y_i) = 0$.

On $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}^0_S}$ we have by Proposition 1.3, (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10)

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\det(\theta'_i(Y_j))\det(\theta''_i(Y_j))}{B_S}\, A_S\, \mathbf{i}_{Y_3}\circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_2}\circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_1}\left((\pi_S\circ\tau_S^{-1})^*(\boldsymbol{v}_S)\wedge\overline{\sigma_S}\right)\\
&= \frac{A_S}{B_S}\,\det(\theta'_i(Y_j))\,\det(\theta''_i(Y_j))\,\mathbf{i}_{Y_3}\circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_2}\circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_1}\left((\pi_S\circ\tau_S^{-1})^*(\eta_1\wedge\eta_2\wedge\eta_3\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \left.\wedge\mathbf{i}_{\boldsymbol{V}_3}\circ\mathbf{i}_{\boldsymbol{V}_2}\circ\mathbf{i}_{\boldsymbol{V}_1}(\boldsymbol{v}_S))\wedge\overline{\sigma}_S\right)\\
&= \frac{2\pi^2 A_S}{B_S}\,\det(\theta'_i(Y_j))\,\det(\theta''_i(Y_j))\\
&\qquad\qquad \mathbf{i}_{Y_3}\circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_2}\circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_1}\left((\pi_S\circ\tau_S^{-1})^*\left(\eta_1\wedge\eta_2\wedge\eta_3\wedge\pi^*(\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}})\right)\wedge\overline{\sigma}_S\right)\\
&= \frac{2\pi^2 A_S}{B_S}\,\det(\theta'_i(Y_j))\,\mathbf{i}_{Y_3}\circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_2}\circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_1}\left(\theta_1\wedge\theta_2\wedge\theta_3\wedge\beta^*\circ(\pi_{\mathbb{H}}\circ\tau_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1})^*(\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}})\right.\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \left.\wedge\theta''_1\wedge\theta''_2\wedge\theta''_3\wedge\overline{\beta^*(\sigma_{\mathbb{H}})}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Here θ_i should be understood as restricted to $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}^0_S}$. Now we have the following equality on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}^0_S}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\beta^*(A_{\mathbb{H}})\mathbf{i}_{Y_3}\circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_2}\circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_1}(\theta'_1\wedge\theta'_2\wedge\theta'_3\wedge\theta''_1\wedge\theta''_2\wedge\theta''_3)\wedge\beta^*(\Omega_{\mathbb{H}})\\
&= \frac{2\pi^2 A_S}{B_S}\det(\theta'_i(Y_j))\mathbf{i}_{Y_3}\circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_2}\circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_1}(\theta'_1\wedge\theta'_2\wedge\theta'_3\wedge\theta''_1\wedge\theta''_2\wedge\theta''_3)\wedge\beta^*(B_{\mathbb{H}}\Omega_{\mathbb{H}}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathbf{i}_{Y_3}\circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_2}\circ \mathbf{i}_{Y_1}(\theta'_1\wedge\theta'_2\wedge\theta'_3\wedge\theta''_1\wedge\theta''_2\wedge\theta''_3)\neq 0$, we have

$$
2\pi^2\frac{A_S}{B_S}\det(\theta'_i(Y_j)) = \beta^*\left(\frac{A_{\mathbb{H}}}{B_{\mathbb{H}}}\right) \tag{2.22}
$$

on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}^0_S}$. □

By (2.17) to (2.20) and $\|B\|^2 = \sqrt{2}\beta^*(\|A\|)$ on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}^0_S}$ we have

Corollary 2.4.

$$
2\pi^2\frac{\boldsymbol{a}_S}{\boldsymbol{b}_S}\det(\theta'_i(Y_j)) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{2n+1}\frac{\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}}{\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}}. \tag{2.23}
$$

Hence $\det(\theta'_i(Y_j))$ *must be constant on* $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}^0_S}$.

Now we list the concrete values of these constants $\boldsymbol{a}_S$, $\boldsymbol{b}_S$, $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}$, $\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\det(\theta'_i(Y_j))$.

Proposition 2.5.

$$\boldsymbol{a}_S = -\sqrt{-1}, \tag{2.24}$$

$$\boldsymbol{b}_S = \sqrt{-1}, \tag{2.25}$$

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}} = 2^{n-2}, \tag{2.26}$$

$$\det(\theta'_i(Y_j)) = 2^{-3} = \det(\theta'_i(Y'_j)) = \det(\theta''_i(Y''_j)) \ \textit{on} \ \widetilde{\mathbf{E}^0_S}, \tag{2.27}$$

$$\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi^2}. \tag{2.28}$$

Here Y'_i (resp. Y''_i) is the holomorphic (resp. anti-holomorphic) part of the vector field Y_i. Note that the value $\det(\theta'_i(Y_j))$ is not constant on all of $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S}$.

The calculations for the determination of these five constants are so tedious that we do not write down the details here. They are made by evaluating both sides of (2.13) (2.14) and (2.19) at a specific point. Especially to determine the constant $\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}$, we make use of the fiber bundle structure stated in Proposition 1.7 to consider a suitable local coordinate neighborhood in the space $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$. Then we use the above Corollary 2.4 to determine the constant $\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}$.

Although it appeared in the proof of Proposition 2.3 we emphasize the relation between the Liouville forms on T^*S^{4n+3} and $T^*P^n\mathbb{H}$:

Proposition 2.6.

$$\begin{aligned} &\Omega_S \\ &= \frac{\beta^*(A_{\mathbb{H}})}{A_S}\frac{1}{|\det(\theta'_i(Y_j))|^2}\theta'_1\wedge\theta'_2\wedge\theta'_3\wedge\theta''_1\wedge\theta''_2\wedge\theta''_3\wedge\beta^*(\Omega_{\mathbb{H}}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

3. Quantization operator I

From now on we will omit the maps $\tau_{\mathbb{H}}$ and τ_S for the sake of simplicity.

In this section we construct an operator from a Hilbert space consisting of a certain class of holomorphic functions on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$ to $L_2(P^n\mathbb{H})$.

The symplectic form $\omega_{\mathbb{H}}$ defines a complex line bundle L on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$ (although this is topologically trivial) with the connection ∇. Since $d\theta_{\mathbb{H}} = \omega_{\mathbb{H}}$ there is a trivialization of L by a section $\boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbb{H}}$ such that the connection ∇ is given as

$$\nabla_X(\boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbb{H}}) = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\,\langle\theta_{\mathbb{H}}, X\rangle\,\boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbb{H}}, \tag{3.1}$$

and since $\theta_{\mathbb{H}}$ is real we can introduce an inner product on L such that

$$(\boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbb{H}}, \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbb{H}})_L \equiv 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Again, by $\omega_{\mathbb{H}} = 2^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{-1}d(\partial\sqrt{\|A\|})$, we have another trivialization of L by a global section $\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}}$ such that the connection is expressed as

$$\nabla_X(\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}}) = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\left\langle 2^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{-1}\partial\sqrt{\|A\|},\, X\right\rangle \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}}. \tag{3.3}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}} = \varphi\, \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbb{H}}$ with a nowhere vanishing function φ, this function φ must satisfy the equation

$$d\log\varphi = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\theta_{\mathbb{H}} - 2^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{-1}\partial\sqrt{\|A\|}). \tag{3.4}$$

From (1.19), we can take a solution of this equation

$$\varphi = e^{-\sqrt[4]{2}\pi\sqrt{\|A\|}}. \tag{3.5}$$

We denote this solution by φ_0 and fix $\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}} = \varphi_0\, \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbb{H}}$ henceforth.

Next we consider the canonical line bundle $K^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}$ and its square root $\sqrt{K^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}}$. For the canonical line bundle $K^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}$ we can introduce a connection ${}^{\mathcal{G}}\nabla$ "along the polarization" $\mathcal{G}$ in such a way that

$${}^{\mathcal{G}}\nabla_X(f) = \mathbf{i}_X \circ df, \text{ for } X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G}),\ f \in \Gamma(K^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}). \tag{3.6}$$

Note that $\mathbf{i}_X(f) = 0$ for $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G})$, $f \in \Gamma(K^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}})$. Now, by making use of this connection, we can also define in a unique way a connection on the square root $\sqrt{K^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}}$. We denote this connection by ${}^{\mathcal{G}}\nabla^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

From the pairing (2.14) and by (2.18) we define the pairing of $\sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}}$ by

$$< \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}},\, \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}} >= \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}|}\, \|A\|^{n+1}, \tag{3.7}$$

and introduce an inner product for $\phi = f\cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}}\otimes\sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}} \in \Gamma(L\otimes\sqrt{K^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}})$ and $\psi = g\cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}}\otimes\sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}} \in \Gamma(L\otimes\sqrt{K^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}})$ (f and g are functions on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$) by

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi,\psi) &= \int_{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}} f(A)\overline{g(A)}\,(\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}},\, \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}})_L < \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}},\, \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}} > \Omega_{\mathbb{H}} \\ &= \int_{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}} f(A)\overline{g(A)}\, e^{-2\sqrt[4]{2}\pi\sqrt{\|A\|}}|\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}|^{\frac{1}{2}}\ \|A\|^{n+1}\,\Omega_{\mathbb{H}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Let us denote by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(L\otimes\sqrt{K^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}})$ the space of smooth sections which are parallel with respect to the connection $\nabla\otimes Id + Id\otimes{}^{\mathcal{G}}\nabla^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then we have the isomorphism

$$f \longleftrightarrow f\cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}}\otimes\sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}} \tag{3.9}$$

between the space of holomorphic functions on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(L \otimes \sqrt{K_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{G}}})$. Note here that $\nabla_X(\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}}) = 0$ for $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G})$. We denote by $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ the completion of the space

$$\begin{aligned}&\{f \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}} \,|\, f \text{ is a polynomial on } M(2n+2,\mathbb{C}) \text{ restricted to } \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}\} \\ &\cong \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}}\end{aligned}$$

with respect to the norm defined by the inner product (3.8), where $\mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}}$ denotes the space of polynomials of degree l on $M(2n+2,\mathbb{C})$(restricted to $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$). The spaces $\mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{l'}^{\mathbb{H}}$ are orthogonal for $l \neq l'$ with respect to this inner product (3.8).

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the vertical polarization on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}} \cong T_0^*P^n\mathbb{H}$ defined by the projection $\pi_{\mathbb{H}} : T_0^*P^n\mathbb{H} \longrightarrow P^n\mathbb{H}$. In this case we also have a connection "along the polarization" $\mathcal{F}$ for the square root of the canonical line bundle $K_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{F}}$ in the same way as for the case of the Kähler polarization $\mathcal{G}$, and we have the correspondence

$$g \longleftrightarrow {\pi_{\mathbb{H}}}^*(g) \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \sqrt{{\pi_{\mathbb{H}}}^*(\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}})} \tag{3.10}$$

between the space $C^{\infty}(P^n\mathbb{H})$ and the space $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(L \otimes \sqrt{K_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{F}}})$. Again here note that $\nabla_X(\boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbb{H}}) = 0$ for $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F})$. So we can define the inner product on the space $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(L \otimes \sqrt{K_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{F}}})$ by

$$\int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} g_1(P)\overline{g_2(P)}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}} \tag{3.11}$$

through the above identification (3.10), since we assumed that $(\boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbb{H}}, \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbb{H}})_L \equiv 1$ and $\sqrt{\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}} \otimes \sqrt{\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}} = \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}$.

By (2.20) we define the pairing $< \sqrt{{\pi_{\mathbb{H}}}^*(\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}})}, \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}} >$ as

$$< \sqrt{{\pi_{\mathbb{H}}}^*(\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}})}, \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}} >= \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}|}\ \|A\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\,, \tag{3.12}$$

then finally we define the pairing between the spaces $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(L \otimes \sqrt{K_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{F}}})$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}(L \otimes \sqrt{K_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{G}}})$ as follows: Let $\varphi = {\pi_{\mathbb{H}}}^*(f) \cdot \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \sqrt{{\pi_{\mathbb{H}}}^*(\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}})}$ and $\psi = g \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}}$, then we define

(3.13)

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle &\equiv \int_{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}} {\pi_{\mathbb{H}}}^*(f)(A)\, g(A)\, (\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}}, \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbb{H}})_L\ < \sqrt{{\pi_{\mathbb{H}}}^*(\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}})}, \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}} >\ \Omega_{\mathbb{H}} \\ &= \int_{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}} {\pi_{\mathbb{H}}}^*(f) g(A) e^{-2^{\frac{1}{4}}\pi\sqrt{\|A\|}} \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}|}\ \|A\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\ \Omega_{\mathbb{H}}.\end{aligned}$$

The pairing above defines an operator $T : g \mapsto T(g) \in C^{\infty}(P^n\mathbb{H})$ for $g \in C^{\infty}(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}})$ satisfying a suitable integrability condition, that is we have

$$\int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} f\, T(g)\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}} = \big\langle \pi_{\mathbb{H}}^{*}(f)\cdot \boldsymbol{s}_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \sqrt{{\pi_{\mathbb{H}}}^{*}(\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}})},\ g\cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}}\big\rangle.$$

In fact we have

$$T(g)\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}} = (\pi_{\mathbb{H}})_{*}(g e^{-2^{\frac{1}{4}}\pi\sqrt{\|A\|}}\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}|}\,\|A\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\Omega_{\mathbb{H}}), \tag{3.14}$$

the fiber integration of the map $\pi_{\mathbb{H}}$.

Let $\Delta^{\mathbb{H}}$ be the Laplacian on $P^n\mathbb{H}$ with respect to the Riemannian metric defined in § 2 and let $\{e^{-\sqrt{-1}t\sqrt{\Delta^{\mathbb{H}}+(2n+1)^2}}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ be the one parameter family of unitary and elliptic Fourier integral operators generated by the square root of the operator $\Delta^{\mathbb{H}} + (2n+1)^2$.

The bicharacteristic flow $\{\sigma_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of the operator $\sqrt{\Delta^{\mathbb{H}} + (2n+1)^2}$ is the Hamilton flow whose Hamiltonian is the square root of the metric function and can be expressed as follows:

Proposition 3.1.

$$\sigma_t : \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}} \to \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}},\ \sigma_t(A) = e^{-2\sqrt{-1}t}\,A. \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. Let X_g be the vector field on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$ defined by the flow $\sigma_t(A) = e^{-2\sqrt{-1}t}\,A$, and let $h(A) = 2^{-3/4}\sqrt{\|A\|}$(= square root of the metric function on $TP^n\mathbb{H}$). Then by Proposition 1.5 we have for any vector field Y on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$

$$\omega_{\mathbb{H}}(Y, X_g) = 2^{1/4}\sqrt{-1}\,\overline{\partial}\partial\sqrt{\|A\|}(Y, X_g) = Y(h),$$

which proves the proposition. ☐

The geodesic flow restricted to the unit tangent sphere bundle of $P^n\mathbb{H}$ coincides with the Hamilton flow above under the identification of the tangent bundle and the cotangent bundle by the Riemannian metric.

From the theory of Fourier integral operators, we know that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the graph of the symplectic isomorphism σ_t is the canonical relation of the Fourier integral operator $e^{-\sqrt{-1}t\sqrt{\Delta^{\mathbb{H}}+(2n+1)^2}}$. This correspondence can be seen as a quasi-classical approximation. An opposite is interpreted as a kind of quantization. Here we have a kind of exact quantization of the flow $\{\sigma_t\}$ by making use of the operator T: since $\sigma_t^{*}(\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}) = e^{-2\sqrt{-1}t(2n+1)}\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\sigma_t^{*}(\boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}}) = \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}}$, we should regard the action of the flow $\{\sigma_t\}$ on the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $(\sigma_t)^{*}(f \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}})$ $= e^{-\sqrt{-1}t(2l+2n+1)}\, f \cdot \boldsymbol{t}_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes \sqrt{\sigma_{\mathbb{H}}}$ for each $f \in \mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}}$. Then we have

Theorem 3.2. (1) *The operator T is an isomorphism between the spaces $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $L_2(P^n\mathbb{H})$.*

(2) *The following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{G}} \arrow[r, "\sigma_t^*"] \arrow[d, "T"'] & \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{G}} \arrow[d, "T"] \\ L_2(P^n\mathbb{H}) \arrow[r, "e^{-t\sqrt{-1}\sqrt{\Delta^{\mathbb{H}}+(2n+1)^2}}"'] & L_2(P^n\mathbb{H}). \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.16}$$

Remark 3.3. The Hamilton flow $\{\sigma_t\}$ is periodic with the period π. However, the action $\{\sigma_t^*\}$ on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ is periodic with the period 2π and the one parameter family of unitary operators $\{e^{-t\sqrt{-1}\sqrt{\Delta^{\mathbb{H}}+(2n+1)^2}}\}$ also has the period 2π.

We will prove this theorem by explicitly calculating the norms of the operator T on each $\mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}}$ (Proposition 3.8).

Let us denote by $\mathcal{S}_k$ $(k = 0, 1, \dots)$ the space of harmonic polynomials on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{4n+4}$ of degree k, and by $\mathcal{S}_k^0$ the subspace of $\mathcal{S}_k$ consisting of those polynomials which are invariant under the action of $Sp(1)$ from the right. The l-th eigenspace H_l of the Laplacian $\Delta^{\mathbb{H}}$ on $P^n\mathbb{H}$ with the eigenvalue $\lambda_l = 4l(2n + 1 + l)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}_{2l}^0$ by $\pi^* : H_l \cong \mathcal{S}_{2l}^0(\pi : S^{4n+3} \to P^n\mathbb{H})$, and $\mathcal{S}_{2l+1}^0 = \{0\}$. It is known that the dimension of H_l is given by

$$\dim H_l = \frac{2n}{2n+1}\frac{l+1}{l+2n} \cdot (2l+2n+1) \cdot \left(\frac{\Gamma(l+2n+1)}{\Gamma(2n+1)\Gamma(l+2)}\right)^2, \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$\overline{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} H_l} = L_2(P^n\mathbb{H}). \tag{3.18}$$

Here we note the important fact that for each fixed $z \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\langle z, z\rangle = 0$, the polynomial $\langle p, z\rangle^l$ of $p \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{4n+4}$ is a harmonic polynomial, and likewise we can prove

Proposition 3.4. *For each fixed $A \in \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$, the polynomial $\langle \pi(p), A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^l = \langle (\theta(p_i)p_j), A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^l$ $(l = 0, 1, \dots)$ on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{4n+4}$ is a harmonic polynomial and $Sp(1)$-invariant.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that the polynomial $\langle \pi(p), A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^l$ is harmonic for a particular $A \in \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$ because of the two point homogeneity of $P^n\mathbb{H}$

by the isometry group $Sp(n+1)$. Hence if we take a point $A \in \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$,

$$A = \rho\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{-1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \sqrt{-1} & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & O & \\ 0 & 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

then we have the result by an explicit calculation. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{A}_l$ be a map:

$$\mathcal{A}_l:\ H_l \to \mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}} \tag{3.19}$$

given by

$$\mathcal{A}_l(\varphi)(A) = \int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} \varphi(P)\, \langle P, A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^{l}\, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}. \tag{3.20}$$

For $f \in \mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}}$ let $\mathcal{B}_l(f) \in C^\infty(P^n\mathbb{H})$ be given by the integral

$$\mathcal{B}_l(f)(P) = \int_{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}} f(A)\overline{\langle P, A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^{l}} e^{-2\sqrt[4]{2}\pi\sqrt{\|A\|}} \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}|}\, \|A\|^{n+1}\, \Omega_{\mathbb{H}}. \tag{3.21}$$

Then Proposition 3.4 guarantees that $\mathcal{B}_l$ maps $\mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}}$ into H_l and we have

(1) $\mathcal{B}_l$ commutes with the action of $Sp(n+1)$ on $\mathcal{P}_l$ and H_l.

(2) $\displaystyle\int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} (\mathcal{B}_l \circ A_l)(\varphi)(P) \cdot \overline{(\varphi)(P)} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}$
$\displaystyle = \int |A_l(\varphi)(P)|^2 \cdot e^{-2\sqrt[4]{2}\cdot\pi\cdot\sqrt{\|A\|}} \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}|}\, \|A\|^{n+1}\, \Omega_{\mathbb{H}}.$

Of course the operator $\mathcal{A}_l$ also commutes with the action of $Sp(n+1)$ on H_l and $\mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}}$, so that the operator $\mathcal{B}_l \circ \mathcal{A}_l$ is a positive constant multiple $(= \boldsymbol{b}_l)$ of the identity operator. This constant $\boldsymbol{b}_l$ satisfies

$$\int_{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}} |\mathcal{A}_l(\varphi)(A)|^2\, e^{-2\sqrt[4]{2}\pi\sqrt{\|A\|}} \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}|}\, \|A\|^{n+1}\, \Omega_{\mathbb{H}} = \boldsymbol{b}_l \int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} |\varphi|^2\, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}. \tag{3.22}$$

To determine $\boldsymbol{b}_l$ we put

$$G_l(P, P') = \int_{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}} \langle P, A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^{l}\, \overline{\langle P', A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^{l}} e^{-2\sqrt[4]{2}\pi\sqrt{\|A\|}} \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}|}\, \|A\|^{n+1}\, \Omega_{\mathbb{H}}, \tag{3.23}$$

then

$$\int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} G_l(P, P')\varphi(P)\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}} = \boldsymbol{b}_l\varphi(P'), \quad \varphi \in H_l. \tag{3.24}$$

Now from the invariance $G_l(gP^Tg, gP'^Tg) = G_l(P, P')$ for any $g \in Sp(n+1)$, we have

$$\int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} G(P,P)\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}} = \boldsymbol{b}_l \dim H_l = G(P_0, P_0)vol(P^n\mathbb{H}) \tag{3.25}$$

for any fixed $P_0 \in P^n\mathbb{H}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\boldsymbol{b}_l \dim H_l \\ &\quad = \int_{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}} \int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} \left|\langle P, A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}\right|^{2l} e^{-2\sqrt[4]{2}\pi\sqrt{\|A\|}} \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}|}\,\|A\|^{n+1}\,\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}} \wedge \Omega_{\mathbb{H}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

Now the integral

$$\|A\|^{-2l} \int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} \left|\langle P, A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}\right|^{2l} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}} = I_l \tag{3.27}$$

is independent of $A \in \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$, so that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{b}_l \dim H_l &= \int_{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}} I_l\,\|A\|^{n+1+2l}\,\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}|}e^{-2\sqrt[4]{2}\pi\sqrt{\|A\|}}\Omega_{\mathbb{H}} \\ &= I_l\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}|}2^{\frac{3}{2}(n+1+2l)} \int_{T_0^*P^n\mathbb{H}} \|q\|^{2n+2+4l}\,e^{-4\pi\|q\|}\Omega_{\mathbb{H}} \\ &= I_l\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}|}2^{\frac{3}{2}(n+1+2l)} \\ &\quad\times \int_{S^{4n-1}} \int_0^\infty r^{2n+2+4l}e^{-4\pi r}r^{4n-1}\,dr \wedge \boldsymbol{v}_{S^{4n-1}} \cdot vol(P^n\mathbb{H}) \\ &= I_l\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}|}2^{\frac{3}{2}(n+1+2l)} \frac{1}{(4\pi)^{6n+4l+2}} \\ &\quad\times \Gamma(6n+4l+2)vol(S^{4n-1})vol(P^n\mathbb{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Next we calculate the constant I_l. To this purpose we choose a point (P_0, Q_0) from $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}$:

$$P_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & O & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & O & \\ 0 & 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

When we put $A_0 = \tau_{\mathbb{H}}(P_0, Q_0)$, then

$$\left|\langle P, A_0\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}\right|^2 = (\|p_0\|^2 - \|p_1\|^2)^2 + 4\left|\langle p_0, p_1\rangle\right|^2, \tag{3.28}$$

where $P = (p_i\theta(p_j))$, $(p_0, \dots, p_n) \in S^{4n+3} \subset \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.29)\quad I_l &= \|A_0\|^{-2l} \int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} |\langle P, A_0\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}|^{2l}\, \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}} \\
&= \|A_0\|^{-2l} \int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} |\langle P, A_0\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}|^{2l} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\pi_*(\boldsymbol{v}_S) \\
&= \frac{(2\sqrt{2})^{-2l}}{2\pi^2} \int_{S^{4n+3}} ((\|p_0\|^2 - \|p_1\|^2)^2 + 4\,|\langle p_0, p_1\rangle|^2)^l \boldsymbol{v}_S.
\end{aligned}
$$

For the determination of the last integral on the sphere S^{4n+3}, we define a coordinate transformation Φ:

$$\Phi :\ \mathbb{H}^2 \times D_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^{n+1}, (y, \boldsymbol{x}) \mapsto (\sqrt{1 - |\boldsymbol{x}|^2}y, \boldsymbol{x}),$$

where $D_1 = \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{H}^{n-1} |\ \|\boldsymbol{x}\| < 1\}$. Then we can separate the variables into two parts (y, x) in the last expression of (3.29) so that the constant I_l is equal to the following integral:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.30)\quad I_l = \frac{(2\sqrt{2})^{-2l}}{2\pi^2} &\int_{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|<1} (1 - |\boldsymbol{x}|^2)^{3+2l}\, d\boldsymbol{x} \\
&\times \int_{S^7} ((\|y_0\|^2 - \|y_1\|^2)^2 + 4\,|\langle y_0, y_1\rangle|^2)^l \boldsymbol{v}_{S^7},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d\boldsymbol{x}$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{H}^{n-1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{4n-4}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{S^7}$ is the volume element on the unit sphere $S^7 \subset \mathbb{H}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^8$.

Let $\pi :\ \mathbb{H}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^8 \to \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{R}^5$ be the map

$$\pi(y_0, y_1) = (\|y_0\|^2, y_0\theta(y_1)) = (t_0, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4).$$

Then π realizes the Hopf fibration

$$\pi :\ S^7 \to S^4 = \left\{ (t_0, \dots, t_4) \,\middle|\, \left(t_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^4 t_i^2 = \frac{1}{4} \right\},$$

and we have a reduction of the integral on S^7 in the above formula (3.30) by the fiber integration to an integral on S^4:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{S^7} \left((\|y_0\|^2 - \|y_1\|^2)^2 + 4\,|\langle y_0, y_1\rangle|^2\right)^l \boldsymbol{v}_{S^7} \\
&= \int_{S^4} \pi_* \left(\left((\|y_0\|^2 - \|y_1\|^2)^2 + 4\,|\langle y_0, y_1\rangle|^2\right)^l \boldsymbol{v}_{S^7}\right) \\
&= \frac{vol(S^3)}{2^4} \int_{S^4} (t_0^2 + t_1^2)^l \boldsymbol{v}_{S^4} \\
&= \frac{vol(S^3)}{2^4} 2\pi \int_{t_2^2+t_3^2+t_4^2<1} (1 - t_2^2 - t_3^2 - t_4^2)^l \, dt_2 dt_3 dt_4 \\
&= \frac{vol(S^3) vol(S^2)}{2^4} \cdot 2\pi \cdot \frac{\Gamma(l+1)\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{2\Gamma\left(l+1+\frac{3}{2}\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.31)\quad I_l = \frac{(2\sqrt{2})^{-2l}}{2\pi^2} \cdot vol(S^{4n-5}) \frac{\Gamma(2l+4)\Gamma(2n-2)}{2\Gamma(2l+2n+2)} \\
\times \frac{vol(S^3) vol(S^2)}{2^4} \cdot 2\pi \cdot \frac{\Gamma(l+1)\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{2\Gamma\left(l+1+\frac{3}{2}\right)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally the constant $\boldsymbol{b}_l$ is expressed as

Proposition 3.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.32)\quad \boldsymbol{b}_l &= \frac{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}|}}{\dim H_l} 2^{\frac{3}{4}(2n+2+4l)} \frac{vol(S^{4n-1}) vol(P^n\mathbb{H})}{(4\pi)^{6n+4l+2}} \Gamma(6n+4l+2) \\
&\quad \times \frac{(2\sqrt{2})^{-2l}}{2\pi^2} \frac{vol(S^3) vol(S^2)}{2^4} 2\pi \frac{\Gamma(l+1)\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{2\Gamma\left(l+1+\frac{3}{2}\right)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{vol(S^{4n-5})\Gamma(2l+4)\Gamma(2n-2)}{2\Gamma(2l+2n+2)} \\
&= \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}|} \cdot 2^{-n/2+1} \cdot \pi^{-4l-3} \cdot \frac{1}{(2l+2n+1)^2} \\
&\quad \times \frac{\Gamma(l+1)^2\Gamma(l+2)^2}{\Gamma(l+n+1/2)\Gamma(l+n+1)\Gamma(l+2n)\Gamma(l+2n+1)} \\
&\quad \times \Gamma(l+\frac{6n+2}{4})\Gamma(l+\frac{6n+3}{4})\Gamma(l+\frac{6n+4}{4})\Gamma(l+\frac{6n+5}{4})
\end{aligned}$$

As a result we proved

Proposition 3.6. *The operator* $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{b}_l}}\mathcal{A}_l$ *is a unitary isomorphism between the spaces* H_l *and* $\mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}}$, *and* $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{b}_l}}\mathcal{B}_l$ *is the inverse.*

Next we consider the operator $T\circ\mathcal{A}_l : H_l \to C^\infty(P^n\mathbb{H})$.

Let $\varphi\in H_l$, then

$$\begin{aligned}&(T\circ\mathcal{A}_l(\varphi))\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}\\ &=(\pi_{\mathbb{H}})_*\left(\left(\int_{P^n\mathbb{H}}\varphi(P)\,\langle P,A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^l\,\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}\right)e^{-\sqrt[4]{2}\cdot\pi\cdot\sqrt{\|A\|}}\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}|}\,\|A\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\Omega_{\mathbb{H}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

so put $(\pi_{\mathbb{H}})_*\left(\langle P,A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^l\,e^{-\sqrt[4]{2}\cdot\pi\cdot\sqrt{\|A\|}}\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}|}\,\|A\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\Omega_{\mathbb{H}}\right)=K_l(P,P')\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}$, where $\pi_{\mathbb{H}}(A)=P'$ (exactly $P'=\pi_{\mathbb{H}}\circ\tau_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1}(A)$). Then

$$T\circ\mathcal{A}_l(\varphi)(P')=\int_{P^n\mathbb{H}}K_l(P,P')\varphi(P)\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}.$$

Since $K_l(gP^Tg,\,gP'^Tg)=K_l(P,\,P')$ for any $g\in Sp(n+1)$ and so $K_l(P,\,P')=K_l(P',\,P)$ (since $P^n\mathbb{H}$ is a symmetric space), we know that for each fixed P' (resp. P) the polynomial $K_l(\pi(p)\,,\,P')$ (resp. $K_l(P,\,\pi(p'))$ on $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ is a harmonic polynomial. Hence the operator $T\circ\mathcal{A}_l$ maps H_l into itself, so that $T\circ\mathcal{A}_l :\ H_l\to H_l$ is an intertwining operator of the irreducible unitary representation of $Sp(n+1)$ on H_l. Hence we can put

$$T\circ\mathcal{A}_l=\boldsymbol{a}_l Id,$$

on H_l with a suitable constant $\boldsymbol{a}_l$.

Proposition 3.7.

$$\begin{aligned}(3.33)\quad \boldsymbol{a}_l&=\frac{(2\sqrt{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\dim H_l}\frac{\Gamma(2l+4n+1)}{(2\pi)^{2l+4n+1}}vol(S^{4n-1})vol(P^n\mathbb{H})\cdot\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}|}\\ &=\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}|}\cdot\frac{2^{3/4}}{\pi^{2l+3/2}}\cdot\frac{\Gamma(l+1)\Gamma(l+2)\Gamma(l+2n+1/2)}{(2l+2n+1)\,\Gamma(l+2n)}\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since

$$\int_{P^n\mathbb{H}}K_l(P,P')\varphi(P)\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}=\boldsymbol{a}_l\varphi(P'),$$

and by the invariance of the kernel $K_l(P,P')=K_l(gP^Tg,gP'^Tg)$, we have

$$\int_{P^n\mathbb{H}}K_l(P,P)\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}=\boldsymbol{a}_l\dim H_l=K_l(P_0,P_0)vol(P^n\mathbb{H}),\quad P_0\in P^n\mathbb{H}.$$

Let $\tau_{\mathbb{H}}(P_0,Q)=A$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle P_0,A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}&=\left\langle P_0,(\|Q\|^2P_0-Q^2)\otimes 1+\frac{\|Q\|}{\sqrt{2}}\otimes\sqrt{-1}\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}\\ &=\frac{1}{2}\|Q\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
&K_l(P_0, P_0)\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}} \\
&\qquad = (\pi_{\mathbb{H}})_* \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} \|Q\|^2 \right)^l e^{-\sqrt{2}\cdot\pi\cdot\|Q\|} \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}|} (\sqrt{2}\,\|Q\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega_{\mathbb{H}} \right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the integrand on the fiber $\pi_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1}(P_0)$ is only a function of the norm $\|Q\|$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_l(P_0, P_0) &= \int_0^\infty t^{2l+1} e^{-2\pi t} t^{4n-1}\, dt\; vol(S^{4n-1}) \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}|} (2\sqrt{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= \frac{(2\sqrt{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{2l+4n+1}} \Gamma(2l + 4n + 1) vol(S^{4n-1}) \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}|}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note here that $\|Q\|^2 = 2\|q\|^2$ for $(p, q)_{\mathbb{H}} = 0, \alpha(p, q) = (P, Q)$ and $2\|Q\|^4 = \|A\|^2$, $A = \tau_{\mathbb{H}}(P, Q)$. □

The proof of the commutativity in Theorem 3.2 is included in the above arguments and now we have proved Theorem 3.2. We restate the results more precisely in

Proposition 3.8. (1) *The operator T is a constant multiple of a unitary operator on each $\mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}}$.*

(2) *The norm of T on $\mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}}$ is given by*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\| T_{|\mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}}} \right\| &= \frac{\boldsymbol{a}_l}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{b}_l}} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}}}{\sqrt[4]{\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}}} \cdot 2^{(n+1)/4} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(l + 2n + 1/2)}{\Gamma(l + 2n)} \\
&\quad \times \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(l + n + 1/2)\Gamma(l + n + 1)\Gamma(l + 2n)\Gamma(l + 2n + 1)}{\Gamma(l + \frac{6n+2}{4})\Gamma(l + \frac{6n+3}{4})\Gamma(l + \frac{6n+4}{4})\Gamma(l + \frac{6n+5}{4})}}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.34}
$$

(3) *By an asymptotic property of products of the Gamma function (see* [Ra2]*)*

$$
\lim_{l\to\infty} \left\| T_{|\mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}}} \right\| = \frac{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}}}{\sqrt[4]{\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}}} 2^{\frac{n+1}{4}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi},
\tag{3.35}
$$

which also proves that the operator T is an isomorphism between the two Hilbert spaces $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $L_2(P^n\mathbb{H})$.

4. Quantization operator II

In this section we describe another quantization operator $\tilde{T} : \mathfrak{h}^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}} \to L^2(P^n\mathbb{H})$. We construct it by making use of Proposition 1.7 (see [FY] for the case of the complex projective space).

Based on the data explained in § 2 we can construct a quantization operator for the case of the sphere S^{4n+3}(for details see [Ra2]). We denote this operator by T^S. It is expressed as a fiber integration:

$$T^S(g)(\pi_S(B))\boldsymbol{v}_S = (\pi_S)_*(g(B)\cdot e^{-\pi\|B\|}\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_S|}\,\|B\|^{-1/2}\,\Omega_S), \tag{4.1}$$

where g is a function on $T_0^*S^{4n+3} \cong \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_S}$ satisfying a suitable integrability condition. Then the operator $\tilde{T} : \sum_l \mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}} \to C^\infty(P^n\mathbb{H})$ is defined as follows: let $f \in \mathcal{P}_l^{\mathbb{H}}$, then $T^S(\beta^*(f))$ is $Sp(1)$ invariant, so that it can descend to $P^n\mathbb{H}$ and we denote the resulting function by $\tilde{T}(f)(P)$, or

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{T}(f)\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi^2}(\pi)_*(T^S(\beta^*(f))\boldsymbol{v}_S)\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Note that $\beta^*(f)$ is a polynomial of degree $2l$ on $\underbrace{M(2,\mathbb{C})\times\cdots\times M(2,\mathbb{C})}_{n+1}$.

By the same arguments for the operator $T\circ\mathcal{A}_l$, we see $\tilde{T}\circ\mathcal{A}_l = \boldsymbol{c}_l\cdot Id$ on each subspace H_l with a constant $\boldsymbol{c}_l$.

Proposition 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\boldsymbol{c}_l\\
&= \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_S|}\frac{vol(P^n\mathbb{H})}{\dim H_l}\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4}\frac{vol(S^2)vol(S^{4n-1})}{l+2n+1/2}\frac{\Gamma(l+2n)}{\Gamma(l+2n+1/2)}\\
&\qquad\times\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\Gamma(2l+4n+5/2)}{(2\pi)^{2l+4n+5/2}}\\
&= \frac{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_S|}}{2\sqrt{2}\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}\cdot\frac{1}{\pi^{2l}}\cdot\frac{(l+2n+1/4)(l+2n+3/4)}{(l+2n+1/2)^2}\cdot\Gamma(l+1)\Gamma(l+2)\\
&\qquad\times\frac{\Gamma(l+2n+1/4)\Gamma(l+2n+3/4)}{\Gamma(l+2n+1)\Gamma(l+2n+1/2)}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\varphi\in H_l$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\tilde{T}^S\circ\mathcal{A}_l)(\varphi)(P')\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}\\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^2}(\pi\circ\pi_S)_*\left(\left(\int_{P^n\mathbb{H}}\varphi(P)\left\langle P,\beta(B)\right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^{l}\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}\right)\cdot e^{-\pi\|B\|}\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_S|}\,\|B\|^{-1/2}\,\Omega_S\right)\\
&= \int_{P^n\mathbb{H}}\varphi(P)L_l(P,P')\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}} = \boldsymbol{c}_l\varphi(P),
\end{aligned}$$

where $L_l(P,P') = \tilde{T}(\langle P, \beta(B)\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^{\,l})$. Of course, the fiber integration is taken with respect to the variable B, and we put $P' = \pi \circ \pi_S(B)$. As before, to determine the constant $\boldsymbol{c}_l$ it is enough to calculate the integral

$$\int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} L_l(P,P)\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}} = \boldsymbol{c}_l \dim H_l.$$

Because of the invariance of L_l, we have for any point $P \in P^n\mathbb{H}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\boldsymbol{c}_l \frac{\dim H_l}{vol(P^n\mathbb{H})}\,\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}} = L_l(P,P)\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^2}(\pi\circ\pi_S)_*(\langle P,\beta(B)\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^{\,l}\, e^{-\pi\|B\|}\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_S|}\,\|B\|^{-1/2}\,\Omega_S) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^2}(\pi\circ\pi_S)_*(((1/2)\,\|Q\|^2)^l e^{-\pi\|B\|}\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_S|}\,\|B\|^{-1/2}\,\Omega_S) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_S|}(\pi\circ\pi_S)_*((\|q\|^2 - \|(q,p)_{\mathbb{H}}\|^2)^l e^{-2\pi\|q\|}(2\,\|q\|)^{-1/2}\Omega_S),
\end{aligned}$$

where we put $B = \|q\|\,\rho(p) + \rho(q)\sqrt{-1}$ and $\pi(p) = P$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_l(P,P) \\
&\equiv \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_S|}\cdot\int_{\mathbb{R}^{4n+3}}(\|x\|^2 - (x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2))^l\cdot e^{-2\pi\|x\|}(2\,\|x\|)^{-1/2}dx \\
&= \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_S|}\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4}\frac{vol(S^2)vol(S^{4n-1})}{l+2n+1/2}\frac{\Gamma(l+2n)}{\Gamma(l+2n+1/2)}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\Gamma(2l+4n+5/2)}{(2\pi)^{2l+4n+5/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\boldsymbol{c}_l \\
&= \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_S|}\frac{vol(P^n\mathbb{H})}{\dim H_l}\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{4}\frac{vol(S^2)vol(S^{4n-1})}{l+2n+1/2}\frac{\Gamma(l+2n)}{\Gamma(l+2n+1/2)} \\
&\qquad\times\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\Gamma(2l+4n+5/2)}{(2\pi)^{2l+4n+5/2}} \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{b}_S|}}{2\sqrt{2}\cdot\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}\cdot\frac{1}{\pi^{2l}}\cdot\frac{(l+2n+1/4)(l+2n+3/4)}{(l+2n+1/2)^2}\cdot\Gamma(l+1)\Gamma(l+2) \\
&\qquad\times\frac{\Gamma(l+2n+1/4)\Gamma(l+2n+3/4)}{\Gamma(l+2n+1)\Gamma(l+2n+1/2)}
\end{aligned}$$

□

From the above arguments we have

Proposition 4.2.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{T}\circ T^{-1} &= \frac{\boldsymbol{c}_l}{\boldsymbol{a}_l}Id\\ &= \sqrt{\frac{|\boldsymbol{b}_S|}{|\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}|}\frac{1}{2^{\frac{5}{4}}}}\cdot\frac{(l+2n+1/4)(l+2n+3/4)}{(l+2n)(l+2n+1/2)}\\ &\qquad\times\frac{\Gamma(l+2n+1/4)\Gamma(l+2n+3/4)}{\Gamma(l+2n+1/2)^2}Id\end{aligned}$$

on H_l. Hence $\tilde{T}$ is an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $L_2(P^n\mathbb{H})$, because $\boldsymbol{c}_l/\boldsymbol{a}_l$ converges to $\sqrt{\frac{|\boldsymbol{b}_S|}{|\boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbb{H}}|}2^{-5/4}} = \pi/2$ when $l\to\infty$.

Although the operator $\frac{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{a}_l}}{\boldsymbol{c}_l}\tilde{T}$ is unitary on each $\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{H}}_l$, no constant multiple of $\tilde{T}$ can be a unitary operator between $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $L_2(P^n\mathbb{H})$. However we have similar properties as for the operator T, that is $\tilde{T}$ can also be understood as a quantization operator of the flow $\{\sigma_t\}$.

Theorem 4.3. (1) *The operator $\tilde{T}$ is an isomorphism between the spaces $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}$ and $L_2(P^n\mathbb{H})$.*

(2) *The following diagram is commutative*

(4.3)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathfrak{h}^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}} \arrow[r, "\sigma_t^*"] \arrow[d, "\tilde{T}"'] & \mathfrak{h}^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}} \arrow[d, "\tilde{T}"] \\
L_2(P^n\mathbb{H}) \arrow[r, "e^{-t\sqrt{-1}\sqrt{\Delta^{\mathbb{H}}+(2n+1)^2}}"'] & L_2(P^n\mathbb{H}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

5. The reproducing kernel

In this section we show that the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}$ has a reproducing kernel.

We see easily that any function in $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}$ is holomorphic. Let f be in $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{H}}$ and let $f=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} f_l$ with $f_l\in\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{H}}_l$, then we have

$$\mathcal{B}_l(f)=\mathcal{B}_l(f_l).$$

Hence we have

$$f=\sum\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{b}_l}\mathcal{A}_l\circ\mathcal{B}_l(f).$$

Now we can rewrite this expression as

$$\begin{aligned} &f(A') \\ &= \sum_l \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{b}_l} \int_{\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}} f(A) \left(\int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} \langle P, A'\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^l \, \overline{\langle P, A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^l} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}} \right) \\ &\qquad \times e^{-2\sqrt[4]{2}\pi\sqrt{\|A\|}} \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{a}_{\mathbb{H}}|} \, \|A\|^{n+1} \, \Omega_{\mathbb{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{R}(A, A') = \sum \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{b}_l} \int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} \langle P, A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^l \, \overline{\langle P, A'\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^l} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}, \tag{5.1}$$

then we have

$$|\mathcal{R}(A, A')| \leq vol(P^n\mathbb{H}) \sum \frac{1}{2^l |\boldsymbol{b}_l|} \|A\|^l \, \|A'\|^l . \tag{5.2}$$

From the expression of $\boldsymbol{b}_l$ (Proposition 3.5) we know that

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{b}_l}{\boldsymbol{b}_{l+1}} = O(l^{-4}). \tag{5.3}$$

Hence $\mathcal{R}(A, \overline{A'})$ is a holomorphic function on $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbb{H}}}$. In fact it is holomorphic on all of the space $M(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$ and we have $\mathcal{R}(A, A') = \overline{\mathcal{R}(A', A)}$. By a similar estimation we have that for each fixed $A' \in M(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$, the function $\mathcal{R}(A, A')$ of A is in $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{G}}$. In summary

Proposition 5.1. *The Hilbert space $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{H}}^{\mathcal{G}}$ has the reproducing kernel*

$$\mathcal{R}(A, A') = \sum \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{b}_l} \int_{P^n\mathbb{H}} \langle P, A\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^l \, \overline{\langle P, A'\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}^l} \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathbb{H}}, \tag{5.4}$$

and we have

$$|f(A')| \leq \|\mathcal{R}(A', \cdot)\| \, \|f\| .$$

Acknowledgments. *The author would like to express his hearty thanks to professor Maurice de Gosson and Mrs. Charlyne de Gosson for their kind hospitality during his stay at Blekinge Institute of Technology (Sweden), where the final version of this article was written.*

References

[Be] A. L. Besse, *Manifolds all of whose Geodesics are Closed*, Springer-Verlag. 1978.

[FT] K. Furutani and R. Tanaka, *A Kähler structure on the punctured cotangent bundle of complex and quaternion projective spaces and its application to geometric quantization I*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **34**(1994), 719–737.

[FY] K. Furutani and S. Yoshizawa, *A Kähler structure on the punctured cotangent bundle of complex and quaternion projective spaces and its application to geometric quantization II*, Japan. J. Math. **21** (1995), 355–392.

[F] K. Furutani, *A Kähler structure on the punctured cotangent bundle of the Cayley projective plane*, to appear in the Proceedings of the Conference in the Honor of Jean Leray, Kralskrona 1999, Ed. M. de Gosson, Kluwer Academic Publishers.

[Ii1] K. Ii, *On a Bargmann-type transform and a Hilbert space of holomorphic functions*, Tôhoku Math. J. (1) **38**(1986), 57–69.

[Ii2] K. Ii and T. Morikawa, *Kähler structures on the tangent bundle of Riemannian manifolds of constant positive curvature*, Bull. Yamagata Univ. Natur. Sci. **14** (1999), 141–154.

[Li] W. Lichtenstein, *A system of quadrics describing the orbit of the highest weight vector*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **84**(1982), 605–608.

[M] S. Murakami, *Exceptional Simple Lie Groups and Related Topics in Recent Differential Geometry, in Differential Geometry and Topology*, Springer Lecture Note, Vol. 1369, Proceedings, at Tianjir, 1986-1987.

[Ra1] J. H. Rawnsley, *Coherent states and Kähler manifolds*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **28** (1977), 403–415.

[Ra2] ———, *A non-unitary pairing of polarization for the Kepler problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **250** (1979), 167–180.

[So] J. M. Souriau, *Sur la variété de Kepler*, Symposia Math. **14** (1974), 343–360.

[Sz1] R. Szőke, *Complex structures on the tangent bundle of Riemannian manifolds*, Math. Ann. **291** (1991), 409–428.

[Sz2] ———, *Adapted complex structures and geometric quantization*, Nagoya J. Math. **154** (1999), 171–183.

[Sz3] ———, *Involutive structures on tangent bundles of symmetric spaces*, Math. Ann. **319** (2001), 319-348.

[Wh] N. M. J. Woodhouse, *Geometric Quantization*, 2nd ed., Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford: Clarendon Press. 1997.

[Y] I. Yokota, *Realizations of involutive automorphisms σ and G^σ of exceptional linear Lie Groups G. Part I, $G = G_2$, F_4 and E_6*, Tsukuba J. Math. **14**(1990), 185–223.

Kenro Furutani
Department of Mathematics
Science University of Tokyo
2641 Noda, Chiba, Japan
postal code : 278-8510
E-mail address: `furutani@ma.noda.sut.ac.jp`